Ref	Risk Description	Key Causes	e Quarter programme Key Consequence		Strategic Theme	Risk Category	Risk Owner		Direction of travel	Current Risk Level			Monetary	Risk Tolerance			
				Status Open / Closed				Key Mitigations		Likelihoo d	Impact	Risk Rating	Impact of Risk	Likelihoo d	Impact	Risk Rating	Date
	Planning applications determined in advance of masterplan.	Developers want to progress sites quickly, particularly in St. Philip's Marsh, and we are aware of a number of submissions or sites being looked at by developers with the view to submitting planning applications in the near future.	Developments are determined without consideration of the emerging masterpian, so limiting the likelood of them according with the principles and ambitions of the partnership.	Open		Project management/reputation	JDT	Work towards getting the Masterplan completed as soon as possible. Liaise with internal BCC departments, including planning to keep them updated on progress and key requirements of the masterplan. Maintain an open and honest dialogue with the developers and encourage them to wait until the masterplan is completed.	Improving	۴	m	3		1	3	3	Sep
	Negative public perception of proposals in the paper	Lack of public understanding of proposals	Programme becomes less popular in eyes of the public, media and key stakeholders	Open		Reputation	JDT	Proactive, positive briefings with key stakeholders, members and media. Explain proposals clearly in terms of who, what, why	Improving	.	-	1		1	۲	1	Sep
	Proposals for change not aligned with updated Local Plan	Planning policy in the process of being updated	Proposals for change not in alignment with Local Plan and thus carry llimited weight in planning decision making	Open		Reputation	JDT	Liaise closely with Strategic planning team at BCC during preparation of proposals and masterplan. Ensure aligned with Local Plan review.	Static	2	3	6		2	3	6	Sep
	Identified infrastructure is not delivered, such as flood infrastructure.	Lack of funding to deliver necessary infrastructure. Also, new developments may not contribute to infrastrucutre if there is not a mechnaism in place to secure it or developments are not viable.	Key infrastructure needed to deliver the programme, expecially in relation to flood infrastructure in St. Philip's Marsh.	Open		Reputation/project management	JDT	Along with the Bristol Avon Flood Strategy, look at mechanisms to secure developer contributions towards new infrastructure. Monitor planning applications coming forward in the area and their contribution to infrastructure. I finfrastructure cannot be delivered due to viabiliy, consider other funding sources which can support delivery.	Static	2	3	6		2	3	6	Sej
	Joint Delivery Company is not established	Not endorsed by Cabinet	Risk to comprehensive regeneration and delivery of world-class placemaking	Open		Reputation/project management	JDT	Proactive, positive briefings with key decision makers in Bristol and the region. Explain proposals clearly in terms of who, what, why	Improving	1	3	3		1	3	3	Sep
	JDC not adequately resourced	Not enough funding to effectively staff the JDC	Risk to comprehensive regeneration and delivery of world-class placemaking	Open		Project management	JDT	Funding in place from WECA grant. Seek further funding to continue project at pace	Improving	2	3	6		2	3	6	Se
	Phase 1 infrastructure costs exceed budgets	Design proposals under development and subject to change; material costs may increase due to inflation	Costs exceed current budgets, requiring vaue engineering, descoping, or risking delivery	Open		Project management	JDT	estimating through design development and monitoring of market trends and inflationary indices	Improving	2	3	6		1	3	3	Se
	Consents not secured for infrastructure works	Railway, planning and environmental consents required to deliver infrastructure projects	Projects cannot be delivered without securing necessary consents	Open		Project management	JDT	Produce consenting strategy, undertake wide stakeholder engagement	Improving	2	3	6		1	3	3	